



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/736,896	10/25/96	KEN	298252016800

THOMAS E CIOTTI  
MORRISON & FOERSTER  
755 PAGE MILL ROAD  
PALO ALTO CA 94304-1018

QM12/0812

EXAMINER  
LEWIS, W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3731	20

DATE MAILED: 08/12/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
**08/736,896**

Applicant(s)  
**Ken et al.**

Examiner  
**William Lewis**

Group Art Unit  
**3731**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) William Lewis (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) William Revelos (Rep.) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Aug 11, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4, and 5

Identification of prior art discussed:

Heinke et al. (German Patent DE 3,203,410)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Revelos pointed out that it was unclear from the figures if the Heinke et al. reference if the ends of the device were radially inwardly. Examiner pointed out that as cited by the claims, the spiral shape of the Heinke et al. reference meets the limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

*[Signature]*  
SPE AM 3731

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.